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# The Crystal and Molecular Structure of 2-Oxo-2-phenoxy-4H-1,3,2-benzodioxaphosphorin 
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(Received 14 December 1976; accepted 1 June 1977)
2-Oxo-2-phenoxy-4H-1,3,2-benzodioxaphosphorin, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}$, crystallizes in the orthorhombic system, space group $P 2,2,2_{1}, Z=4$. The unit-cell dimensions are $a=7.563$ (2), $b=27.554$ (4), $c=5.835$ (1) $\AA$. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares calculations with anisotropic thermal parameters (isotropic for H atoms) to a final $R$ value of 0.045 for 1429 reflexions collected by means of a single-crystal diffractometer. The dioxaphosphorin ring is midway between a half-chair and sofa conformation with the phenoxy group in the axial position.

## Introduction

The present study is a continuation of a series of investigations into the conformations of 1,3,2dioxaphosphorinane systems (Gałdecki \& KarolakWojciechowska, 1971; Gałdecki \& KarolakWojciechowska, 1973; Cameron, Gałdecki \& KarolakWojciechowska, 1976). Many structures of this type have been studied now, including 2 -oxo- 2 -phenoxy-1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinane (Geise, 1967). However, no molecule having a double bond in the 1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinane ring has been investigated. Such a ring should have a slightly different conformation.

## Experimental

The crystals of 2-oxo-2-phenoxy-4 H -1,3,2-benzodioxaphosphorin (abbreviated to PBDP) were crystallized from ligroin with benzene at room temperature in the form of plates. Weissenberg photographs showed the crystal system to be orthorhombic. Systematic absences indicated the non-centrosymmetric space group $P 2,2_{1} 2_{1}$. 1429 independent reflexions were collected on a CAD-4 diffractometer from a crystal shaped into a sphere of diameter 0.3 mm ( Cu radiation). The density of the crystals was determined by flotation in KI solution.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}, M_{r}=262 \cdot 2, F(000)=544$. Orthorhombic, space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ ( $D_{2}^{4}$; No. 19) with $a=$ 7.563 (2), $b=27.554$ (4) and $c=5.835$ (1) $\AA ; V=$ $1216.2 \AA^{3} ; Z=4 . D_{m}=1.44, D_{x}=1.432 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$; $\mu\left(\mathrm{Cu} K_{\imath}\right)=20.41 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
The phases of 200 reflexions were determined by means of MULTAN (Germain, Main \& Woolfson, 1971). Table 1 shows the reflexions selected for the

Table 1. Phase assignment for specifying the origin, and other reflexions contained in the starting set

| Set | hkl | $E_{h k l}$ | Phase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 602 | $2 \cdot 07$ | 0 | Determined from $\Sigma_{1}$ relationships |
| 1 | 0,20,3 | $3 \cdot 65$ | 0 |  |
| 2 | 5,19,0 | $3 \cdot 19$ | $\pi / 2$ | Specifying the |
| 3 | 4,21,0 | 2.77 | 0 | ) origin |
| 4 | 257 | $2 \cdot 68$ |  |  |
| 15 | 4,14,1 | $2 \cdot 29$ |  | Other reflexions |
| 40 | 441 | 2.01 |  | - in starting set |
| Figu | of merit | $M_{\text {abs }}$ | $\psi_{0}$ | $R_{\text {Karle }} \text { COMBINED }$ |
| Max | mum value | 1.2474 | 617.2 | $49.07 \quad 2.072$ |
| Min | um value | $0 \cdot 8481$ | $496 \cdot 6$ | $33.85 \quad 0.2821$ |

starting set. After analysis of the figures of merit for 30 out of 32 solutions we decided to accept the phases of sets 15 and 40 . The next use of MULTAN included in the starting set one extra reflexion ( $E_{257}=2 \cdot 68$ ), whose phase was not previously determined. This produced two solutions. The $E$ map based on the 'better' set of phases with $M_{\mathrm{abs}}=1.2244$ (1.0304 for 'worse' one), $R_{\text {Karle }}=28.01(34.36)$ and COMBINED FOM $=$ $2 \cdot 000(1.000)$ showed all but one of the non-hydrogen atoms. The map did not contain any other high peaks. The position of the missing $C$ (13) atom was calculated through the assumed symmetry of the benzene ring to which this atom belongs. This model of the PBDP molecule for which $R=32 \%$ was directly refined by the method of least squares. The calculations were processed using the XRAY 70 system (Stewart, Kundell \& Baldwin, 1970). The structure was refined by the full-matrix least-squares program $C R Y L S Q$ with isotropic (six cycles) and anisotropic (five cycles) temperature factors until $R_{w} \quad\left\{=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{o}-F_{c}\right)^{2} /\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\Sigma F_{o}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}$ reached 0.062 and $R \quad\left[=\left(\Sigma\left|F_{o}-F_{c}\right| /\right.\right.$ $\left.\sum F_{n}\right)$ ], 0.046. H positions were found from a difference Fourier map ( $R=0.068$ ) and refined with isotropic temperature factors in one additional cycle. The final conventional $R$ factor was $0.045\left(R_{w}=\right.$ $0 \cdot 058$ ) excluding unobserved reflexions. The weighting scheme applied was $w=\sigma^{-1}$ and 233 reflexions with $F_{o}$ $\leq 3 \sigma$ were not used in the refinement.
The scattering factors for $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{O}$ and C were those of Doyle \& Turner (1968) and those in International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962) for H atoms. All calculations were carried out on an IBM-370 computer. The illustrations were prepared by ORTEP (Johnson, 1965).*

## Results and discussion

The final positional parameters are listed in Table 2. A view of the molecule of PBDP and the numbering system, not consistent with the chemical numbering, are shown in Fig. 1. Intramolecular bond lengths and angles are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

The $4 H-1,3,2$-dioxaphosphorin ring is more flattened at the $C$ than at the $P$, quite contrary to the dioxaphosphorinanes which have already been investigated. This flattening results from the presence of the condensed benzene ring and the partly double character of the $C(4)-C(5)$ bond $(1.374 \AA)$. Table 5 lists torsion angles in the $4 H-1,3,2$-dioxaphosphorin ring of PBDP in comparison with those for the ideal half-chair conformation, in the cyclohexene ring (Chiang \& Bauer, 1969) and in steroid systems with one double

[^0]Table 2. Atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

|  | $x$ | ${ }^{\prime}$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P | 1623 (1) | 3959 (1) | 341 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2140 (5) | 3888 (1) | -2025 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1909 (4) | 4481 (1) | 1253 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | -396 (3) | 3850 (1) | 760 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 2637 (3) | 3651 (1) | 2188 (4) |
| C(4) | -1272 (5) | 4048 (1) | 2672 (6) |
| C(5) | -513 (5) | 4401 (1) | 4009 (6) |
| C(6) | -1478 (8) | 4576 (2) | 5887 (8) |
| C(7) | -3151 (7) | 4388 (2) | 6342 (9) |
| C(8) | -3885 (6) | 4038 (2) | 4962 (8) |
| C(9) | -2942 (5) | 3866 (1) | 3094 (8) |
| C(10) | 1316 (6) | 4589 (1) | 3596 (9) |
| C(11) | 2664 (5) | 3140 (1) | 2231 (6) |
| C(12) | 3457 (5) | 2888 (1) | 467 (7) |
| C(13) | 3495 (6) | 2382 (1) | 622 (7) |
| C(14) | 2772 (6) | 2147 (1) | 2488 (8) |
| C(15) | 1963 (6) | 2410 (1) | 4237 (8) |
| C(16) | 1923 (5) | 2922 (1) | 4124 (6) |
| H(6) | 4137 (58) | 163 (15) | 3019 (90) |
| H(7) | 1242 (85) | 494 (17) | 2209 (95) |
| H(8) | 4726 (57) | 3920 (13) | 5099 (67) |
| H(9) | -3352 (65) | 3612 (13) | 2064 (87) |
| H(10) | 2470 (66) | 4472 (17) | 4363 (81) |
| H(11) | 1091 (70) | 4973 (15) | 3927 (86) |
| H(12) | 3947 (85) | 3058 (20) | -681(103) |
| H(13) | 4217 (61) | 2221 (14) | - 219 (73) |
| H(14) | 2847 (65) | 1746 (16) | 2522 (102) |
| H(15) | 1135 (72) | 2197 (15) | 5398 (78) |
| H(16) | 1063 (74) | 3165 (17) | 5313 (95) |

$\mathrm{H}(16) \quad 1063(74) \quad 3165(17) \quad 5313$ (95)


Fig. 1. The view of the PBDP molecule and numbering of atoms. The thermal ellipsoids have been scaled to include $40 \%$ probability.

Table 3. Bond lengths $(\AA$ ) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.448(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.380(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.549(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.393(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.575(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.414(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.571(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.376(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.470(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.407(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(6)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.069(48)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.374(4)$ | $\mathrm{H}(7)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.017(28)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.402(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(8)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.103(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.496(8)$ | $\mathrm{H}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $0.972(25)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.392(3)$ | $\mathrm{H}(10)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.032(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.374(4)$ | $\mathrm{H}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.089(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.387(3)$ | $\mathrm{H}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $0.898(24)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.381(4)$ | $\mathrm{H}(13)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $0.859(34)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.409(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.108(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.379(3)$ | $\mathrm{H}(15)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.093(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.396(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(16)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.163(47)$ |

Table 4. Valency angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for non-hydrogen atoms with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $114.5(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $119.6(12)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $112.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(8)$ | $121.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $116.8(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $119.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $105.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $119.1(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $101.4(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4) \ldots \mathrm{C}(5)$ | $122.6(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $105.3(5)$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $123.9(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $117.7(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $116.4(30)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $120.4(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(111-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $119.8(24)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $111.3(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $117.6(32)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $115.6(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $120.8(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $121.9(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $120.5(27)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $118.1(12)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $119.6(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $122.6(13)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11) 117.7(35)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $119.3(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $123.8(30)$ |

bond in the six-membered rings (Terpenoids and Steroids, 1974; Duax \& Norton, 1975). The 4H-1,3,2dioxaphosphorin ring has a conformation intermediate between $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{O}(2)$ half-chair and $\mathrm{O}(2)$ sofa. Pseudo mirror and twofold symmetry is present with asymmetry parameters (Duax \& Norton, 1975) $\Delta C_{S}^{4}=9.95$ and $\Delta C_{2}^{4.5}=11.37$. The average dihedral angle in this ring is $27.51^{\circ}$. The torsion angle $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)-$ $\mathrm{C}(4)$, equal to $-33 \cdot 18^{\circ}$, differs from the mean values ( $40 \sim 60^{\circ}$ ) occurring in steroid systems with a similar conformation (Terpenoids and Steroids, 1974). Flattening of the ring is accompanied by increase of the valency angles at the O atoms in comparison with those at $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)$. The increase in the O valency angles is revealed by the decrease of the torsion angles $\mathrm{C}(10)-$ $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ and $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ and by the difference between $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)=\mathrm{C}(5)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)=\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ torsion angles (Table 5).

The dihedral angle between plane (i) defined by the atoms $\mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{O}(3)$ and plane (ii) $[\mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{O}(3), \mathrm{C}(4)$ and $\mathrm{C}(5)$ is $32 \cdot 0^{\circ}$. Because of this deformation of the dioxaphosphorin ring, atom $\mathrm{C}(10)$ is significantly out of plane (ii), by about $0.3 \AA$ and for this reason it has not been taken into account in the calculation of this leastsquares plane. The dihedral angles between plane (iii) calculated from atoms $C(4), C(5)$ and $C(10)$ and the previously defined planes are $10 \cdot 2^{\circ}$ (ii) and $27.4^{\circ}$ (i) (Table 6). As a further consequence of the presence of

Table 6. Details of the dioxaphosphorin-ring geometry

| Plane | Atoms in the plane | Other atoms | Distances of the atoms from the plane ( $\AA$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (i) | $\mathrm{O}(2)$ |  | 0.00002 |
|  | P |  | -0.00002 |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(3)$ |  | 0.00000 |
|  |  | C(4) | $-0.6654$ |
|  |  | C(10) | $-1.0302$ |
|  |  | C (5) | $-1.1375$ |
| (ii) | $\mathrm{O}(2)$ |  | 0.01766 |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(3)$ |  | --0.03644 |
|  | C(4) |  | 0.05687 |
|  | C(5) |  | -0.03806 |
|  |  | C(10) | -0.2998 |
|  |  | P | -0.5130 |
| (iii) | C(4) |  | 0.00008 |
|  | C(5) |  | -0.00009 |
|  | C(10) |  | 0.00002 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 0.4570 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 0.0517 |
|  |  | P | -0.1514 |
|  | Planes | Angle between the planes ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |
|  | (i) and (ii) | $32 \cdot 0$ |  |
|  | (ii) and (iii) | $10 \cdot 2$ |  |
|  | (i) and (iii) | 27.4 |  |

the double bond $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ considerable differences in $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ distances in the dioxaphosphorin ring are observed. The lengths of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ bonds are 1.549 and $1.575 \AA$, whereas the $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ distances are 1.470 and $1.407 \AA$ respectively.

As expected, the double-bonded ( $1.448 \AA$ ) O atom is in the equatorial and the phenoxy group in the axial position to the dioxaphosphorin ring. The mean length of the single $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in the distorted tetrahedron $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ is $1.565 \AA$. The mean values of the angles $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ are 114.6 and $103.9^{\circ}$. The stronger repulsion between double-bonded and singlebonded O atoms as compared with repulsion between two single-bonded O atoms explains such a deformation.

Information on the geometry of the condensed benzene ring ( $B$ ) and phenoxy group ( $A$ ) is given in Table 7.

Table 5. Torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ in the $4 H$-1,3,2-dioxaphosphorin and similar rings

|  | PBDP | Cyclohexene | Steroids with <br> half-chair <br> conformation | Steroids with <br> intermediate <br> conformation | Steroids with <br> sofa <br> conformation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)=\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $10 \cdot 99$ | $15 \cdot 2$ | $-(11-20)$ | $-(10-30)$ | $-(27-43)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)=\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-2 \cdot 29$ | 0 | $-5-5$ | $-4-6$ | $-5-9$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $19 \cdot 33$ | $15 \cdot 2$ | $-(12-23)$ | $-(9-20)$ | $-(3-12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}$ | $-46 \cdot 92$ | $-44 \cdot 9$ | $43-54$ | $40-54$ | $15-40$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{O}(3)$ | $52 \cdot 36$ | $60 \cdot 2$ | $-(59-69)$ | $-(64-65)$ | $-(46-66)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $-33 \cdot 18$ | $-44 \cdot 9$ | $39-48$ | $41-58$ | $60-64$ |

Table 7. Details of aromatic-ring geometry: phenoxy group - ring $A$, fused benzene - ring $B$

|  | Ring $A$ | Ring $B$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Mean value of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond <br> length $(\AA)$ <br> Mean value of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | 1.390 | 1.385 |
| angle $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 120.0 | 120.0 |
| Mean value of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond <br> length $(\AA)$ | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| The distances $(\AA)$ of C atoms in <br> aromatic rings from the least- |  |  |
| squares ring plane |  |  |
| C(11) or C $(4)$ | -0.00097 | -0.00637 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ or $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -0.00071 | 0.00152 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ or $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | -0.00188 | 0.00395 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ or $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 0.00617 | -0.00508 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ or $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -0.00754 | 0.00012 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ or $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 0.00495 | 0.00590 |



Fig. 2. The packing of molecules in the crystal.

The packing of the molecules of PBDP in the crystals is shown in Fig. 2. There are no intermolecular contacts short enough to affect the conformation of the rings. The shortest intermolecular distances (less than $3.5 \AA$ ) are listed in Table 8.

Table 8. The shortest intermolecular distances (less than $3 \cdot 5 \AA$ )

The first atom is at $x, y, z$ and the second at (i) $x, y, z-1$; (ii) $x-1$, $y, z$ and (iii) $\frac{1}{2}-x, 1-y, \frac{1}{2}+z$.

| $O(1) \cdots O\left(4^{\text {i }}\right)$ | 3.460 | $C(8) \cdots O\left(4^{\text {ii }}\right)$ | 3.267 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $O(1) \cdots C\left(5^{i}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 374$ | $C(9) \cdots O\left(4^{4 i}\right)$ | 3.436 |
| $O(1) \cdots C\left(10^{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | 3.262 | $C(10) \cdots O\left(2^{\text {iii }}\right)$ | 3.288 |
| $O(1) \cdots C\left(16^{\text {i }}\right)$ | 3.488 |  |  |
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[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors and thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 32965 ( 11 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 INZ, England.

